

POINTWISE ERGODIC THEOREMS WITH RATE AND APPLICATION TO LIMIT THEOREMS FOR STATIONARY PROCESSES

CHRISTOPHE CUNY

ABSTRACT. We obtain pointwise ergodic theorems with rate under conditions expressed in terms of the convergence of series involving $\|\sum_{k=1}^n f \circ \theta^k\|_2$, improving previous results. Then, using known results on martingale approximation, we obtain some LIL for stationary ergodic processes and quenched central limit theorems for functional of Markov chains. The proofs are based on the use of the spectral theorem and, on a recent work of Zhao-Woodroffe extending a method of Derriennic-Lin.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the goals of the present paper is to obtain limit theorems for $\{S_n := \sum_{k=1}^n X_k\}$, where $\{X_n\}$ is a strictly stationary process in L^2 . In particular, we will be interested in the Law of the Iterated Logarithm or, in the case where $\{X_n\}$ is given by the functional of a Markov chain, in the quenched Central Limit Theorem.

We will follow a classical line, using known approximations of $\{S_n\}$ by a martingale $\{M_n\}$, yielding to a control of $\{\|S_n - M_n\|_2\}$.

Then, it remains to obtain a.s. convergence results on $\{S_n - M_n\}$ under the control of $\{\|S_n - M_n\|_2\}$.

This approach was used recently in few papers, see e.g. [7], [8], [20], [4] and [19]. The first four papers used a martingale approximation initiated by Kipnis-Varadhan [13] (and developed by Maxwell-Woodroffe [14]) and results or extensions of Derriennic-Lin [6] to obtain pointwise ergodic theorems for $\{S_n - M_n\}$, while Wu [19] used another way to control $\{\|S_n - M_n\|_2\}$ and a different way to obtain pointwise ergodic theorems (which is not efficient to obtain LIL).

In this paper we will show how the use of spectral tools may allow us to obtain better pointwise ergodic theorems, using the approach of [20]. Then we use the martingale approximations in [14] or in [19] to obtain LIL and quenched central limit theorems, improving the results of the previously mentioned papers.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 60F15 ; Secondary: 60F05.

Key words and phrases. ergodic theorems, Quenched CLT, LIL, normal Markov chains, spectral theorem.

Our paper is organised as follows. In section 2, we collect some more or less known results of Gaposhkin about unitary operators (see [10], [11] and [12]) and extend them to normal operators. In section 3, we obtain ergodic theorems with rates for measure-preserving transformation and functions in L^2 . We focus our study to rates close to the critical rate $\sqrt{n}$, improving former results of [19], [20] and [4] but also of [11], [3] and [18]. In section 4, we establish our LIL and quenched CLT. In section 5, we look at the particular case of Markov chains with normal transition operator, which yields improved results and a nice control of $\{\|S_n - M_n\|\}$. Finally, in section 6 we give examples allowing to compare our different results. The proofs of technical nature are left to the appendix.

2. SPECTRAL CRITERIA FOR THE NORM CONVERGENCE OF SOME SERIES

In this section, we give more or less known results about the norm convergence of some power series associated with a normal operator.

In all the section T will be a normal contraction of a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ (i.e. $T^*T = TT^*$) and $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Denote μ_f the spectral measure of f , that is, μ_f is a finite positive measure on the Borel sets of the closed unit disk $\overline{D}$, such that for every $(a_0, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbf{C}^{n+1}$, $\|\sum_{k=0}^n a_k T^k f\|^2 = \int_{\overline{D}} |\sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k|^2 \mu_f(dz)$.

For every $n \geq 1$, write

$$D_n := \{z = re^{2i\pi\theta} : 1 - \frac{1}{n} \leq r \leq 1, -\frac{1}{n} \leq \theta \leq \frac{1}{n}\}.$$

Hence $D_1 = \overline{D}$ is the closed unit disk.

On can see (see e.g. [4]) that there exists $C > 1$ such

$$(1) \quad \frac{n}{C} \leq \frac{1}{|1-z|} \leq Cn, \quad \forall z \in D_n - D_{n+1}.$$

Write $U_n(f) := \sum_{k=1}^n T^k f$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\varphi : D_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ continuous on $D_1 - \{1\}$ such that there exists a non decreasing $\chi : \mathbf{R}^{+*} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{+*}$, such that $|\varphi(z)| \sim_1 \chi(\frac{1}{|1-z|})$. Moreover assume that $\chi(x)/x^\alpha$ is non increasing for some $0 < \alpha < 2$ and that there exists $\tau > 1$ such that $\chi(2^{n+1}) \geq \tau \chi(2^n)$. Then, the following are equivalent*

- (i) $\int_{D_1} |\varphi(z)| \mu_f(dz) < +\infty$.
- (ii) $\sum_{n \geq 1} \chi(2^n) \mu_f(D_{2^n}) < +\infty$.
- (iii) $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\chi(n)}{n} \mu_f(D_n) < +\infty$.
- (iv) $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\chi(n) \|U_n(f)\|^2}{n^3} < +\infty$.

Remarks : This result is a generalization of [10, Lemma 5] stated for unitary operators. There is a continuous version of that lemma in [11, Lemma 1].

Proof :

We first prove $(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii)$.

We have $\int_{D_1} |\varphi(z)| \mu_f(dz) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \int_{D_{2^n} - D_{2^{n+1}}} |\varphi(z)| \mu_f(dz)$. Using (1) and the monotony assumptions on χ , there exists $C > 1$, such that

$$\frac{1}{C} \sum_{n \geq 1} \chi(2^n) (\mu_f(D_{2^n}) - \mu_f(D_{2^{n+1}})) \leq \int_{D_1} |\varphi(z)| \mu_f(dz) \leq C \sum_{n \geq 1} \chi(2^n) (\mu_f(D_{2^n}) - \mu_f(D_{2^{n+1}})),$$

which proves $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$.

On the other hand, using Fubini, since all the terms are non negative,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1} (\chi(2^n) - \chi(1)) (\mu_f(D_n) - \mu_f(D_{n+1})) &= \sum_{n \geq 1} (\mu_f(D_n) - \mu_f(D_{n+1})) \sum_{k=0}^{-1} n (\chi(2^{k+1}) - \chi(2^k)) \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 1} (\chi(2^{k+1}) - \chi(2^k)) \sum_{n \geq k} (\mu_f(D_n) - \mu_f(D_{n+1})) = \sum_{k \geq 1} (\chi(2^{k+1}) - \chi(2^k)) \mu_f(D_k) \\ &\geq (c-1) \sum_{k \geq 1} \chi(2^k) \mu_f(D_k) \end{aligned}$$

which yields that $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$.

For $(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii)$, using $\{\chi(n)\}$ and $\{\mu_f(D_n)\}$ are monoton, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \geq 0} \chi(2^k) \mu_f(D_{2^{k+1}}) \leq \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{n=2^k}^{2^{k+1}-1} \frac{\chi(n) \mu_f(D_n)}{n} \leq \sum_{k \geq 0} \chi(2^{k+1}) \mu_f(D_{2^k}).$$

which implies the desired equivalence since $\chi(x)/x^\alpha$ is non increasing.

Let prove $(iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv)$.

By [4], formulae (10) and (11), there exists $K > 0$ such that for every $n \geq 1$,

$$(2) \quad \frac{n^2}{K} \mu_f(D_n) \leq \|U_n(f)\|^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (2j+1) \mu_f(D_j).$$

Hence (iv) implies (iii) .

Assume that $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\chi(n) \mu_f(D_n)}{n} < +\infty$. Hence, using that $\chi(x)/x^\alpha$ is non increasing, say for $x \geq n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$, we obtain, by (2),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq n_0} \chi(n) \frac{\|U_n(f)\|^2}{n^3} &\leq \sum_{n \geq n_0} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^3} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} 3j \mu_f(D_j) \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{j=1}^{n_0-1} j \mu_f(D_j) \sum_{n \geq n_0} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^\alpha} \frac{1}{n^{3-\alpha}} + 3 \sum_{j \geq n_0} j \mu_f(D_j) \sum_{n \geq j+1} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^\alpha} \frac{1}{n^{3-\alpha}} \\ &\leq K + K \sum_{j \geq n_0} \frac{\chi(j) \mu_f(D_j)}{j}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Following [6], for every contraction T , we define the operator $\sqrt{I - T}$ by $\sqrt{I - T} := \sum_{n \geq 0} \delta_n T^n$, where $\sqrt{1 - x} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \delta_n x^n$, where $\delta_n < 0 \ \forall n \geq 1$ and $\sum_{n \geq 1} \delta_n < +\infty$.

Proposition 2.2. *The following are equivalent*

- (i) $f \in \sqrt{I - T}\mathcal{H}$.
- (ii) $\int_{D_1} \frac{\mu_f(dz)}{|1-z|} < +\infty$.
- (iii) $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\|U_n(f)\|^2}{n^2} < +\infty$.

Proof :

(i) and (ii) are equivalent, by Theorem 4.4 of [6]. It can also be deduced from Proposition 2.3 (below) with $\psi(x) := \sqrt{x}$, using that (i) is equivalent to the norm convergence of $\sum_{n \geq 0} c_n T^n$, where $\sum_{n \geq 0} c_n x^n = (1 - x)^{-1/2}$, $0 \leq x < 1$. The equivalence of (ii) and (iii) follows from Lemma 2.1, with $\chi(x) = x$. $\square$

Define $D := D(0, 1)$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\varphi(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n z^n$ be a power series converging and continuous on $\overline{D} - \{1\}$, with $\{a_n\}$ non negative. Assume that there exists a non negative continuous function $\psi : \mathbf{R}^{+*} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{+*}$, such that $|\varphi(z)| \underset{1}{\sim} \psi(\frac{1}{|1-z|})$ ($\psi(+\infty) = +\infty$) and $\sup_{m \geq 0} |\sum_{n=0}^m a_n z^n| \leq C\psi(\frac{1}{|1-z|})$ for every $z \in \overline{D}$. Then, for every normal contraction T on $\mathcal{H}$ and any $f \in \mathcal{H}$ the following are equivalent*

- (i) $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n T^n(f)$ converges in norm.
- (ii) $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n T^n(f)$ converges weakly.
- (iii) $\sup_{m \geq 0} \|\sum_{n=0}^m a_n T^n(f)\| < +\infty$.
- (iv) $\int_{\overline{D}} \psi^2(\frac{1}{|1-z|}) \mu_f(dz) < +\infty$.

Remark:

The equivalence of (i) – (iv) was obtained in [3] in the case treated in Proposition 2.4 below.

Proof :

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is clear and (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) follows from Banach-Steinhaus.

Let show (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). By the spectral theorem, for every $m \geq 0$, we have

$$\|\sum_{n=0}^m a_n T^n(f)\|^2 = \int_{\overline{D}} |\sum_{n=0}^m a_n z^n|^2 \mu_f(dz).$$

Since $\{a_n\}$ are non negative and $\psi(+\infty) = +\infty$, hence $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n = +\infty$, and, by (iii), $\mu_f(\{1\}) = 0$. Hence, by assumption $\{\sum_{n=0}^m a_n z^n\}$ converges for μ_f -a.e. $z \in D_1$ to $\varphi(z)$. By Fatou's lemma we obtain

$$\int_{\overline{D}} |\varphi(z)|^2 \mu_f(dz) = \int_{\overline{D}} \liminf_{m \rightarrow +\infty} |\sum_{n=0}^m a_n z^n|^2 \mu_f(dz) \leq \sup_{m \geq 0} \|\sum_{n=0}^m a_n T^n(f)\|^2 < +\infty$$

Thus (iv) follows from the continuity of ψ and φ , and from the assumption $|\varphi(z)| \underset{1}{\sim} \psi(\frac{1}{|1-z|})$.

Proof of (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i).

Since $\psi(+\infty) = +\infty$, (iv) implies that $\mu_f(\{1\}) = 0$. Hence $\{\sum_{n=0}^m a_n z^n\}$ converges for μ_f -a.e. z to $\varphi(z)$ and is dominated by $\psi(\frac{1}{|1-z|})$, so the dominated convergence theorem implies that $\{\sum_{n=0}^m a_n z^n\}$ converges in $L^2(\mu_f)$, which implies by the spectral theorem that $\{\sum_{n=0}^m a_n T^n(f)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, hence (i). $\square$

There are plenty of power series for which the assumptions of Proposition 2.3 are satisfied. We give an application below. Our main applications will concern a family of particular power series introduced in [20].

Proposition 2.4. *The following are equivalent*

- (i) *The series $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{T^n f}{n}$ converges in norm.*
- (ii) $\int_{D_1} \log^2 |1 - z| \mu_f(dz) < +\infty$.
- (iii) $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\|U_n(f)\|^2 \log n}{n^3} < +\infty$.

Proof :

(i) and (ii) are equivalent by [3] (see also [1] for the case of unitary or symmetric operators). One could apply the previous proposition with $\varphi(z) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{z^n}{n}$ and $\psi := \log$. It is not hard to see that the assumptions on φ and ψ are satisfied (it follows from ([22] Ch. I, p.2) and classical computations, it is also done in [3]).

To prove the equivalence to (iii) one has to redo the proof of Lemma 2.1 with $\psi := \log^2$. $\square$

Remarks:

1. In [1], an element $f \in \mathcal{H}$ was said to be of logarithmic ergodic rate $\alpha \geq 0$, if $\sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{(\log n)^{1+\alpha}}{n} \|U_n(f)\| < +\infty$. It was shown in [1] that if f has logarithmic ergodic rate $\alpha > 0$ (and T is unitary) then (i) and (ii) are valid.

2. Gaposhkin asked ([12], P. 254) whether, in the case where T is induced by a measure preserving transformation on $L^2(X, \mu)$, the convergence in norm in (i) implies the almost sure convergence. Point (iii) may help to solve that question. In particular, one can deduce from (iii) that it suffices to prove the a.s. convergence of $\{\sum_{k=1}^{2^{2^n}} \frac{T^k f}{k}\}$. Indeed we have

$$\max_{2^{2^n}+1 \leq k \leq 2^{2^{n+1}}} \left| \sum_{l=2^{2^n}+1}^k \frac{T^l f}{l} \right| \leq \sum_{l=2^{2^n}+1}^{2^{2^{n+1}}} \frac{|U_l f|}{l^2} + \max_{2^{2^n}+1 \leq k \leq 2^{2^{n+1}}} \frac{|U_k|}{k},$$

where the last term converges to 0 a.s. by the ergodic theorem (or by [12], p. 281). On the other hand, we have, by Cauchy-Schwarz

$$Z_n^2 := \left(\sum_{l=2^{2^n}+1}^{2^{2^{n+1}}} \frac{|U_l f|}{l^2} \right)^2 \leq \left(\sum_{l=2^{2^n}+1}^{2^{2^{n+1}}} \frac{1}{k \log k} \right) \left(\sum_{l=2^{2^n}+1}^{2^{2^{n+1}}} \frac{\log k |U_k|^2}{k^3} \right),$$

which yields the result by (iii) and Beppo-Levi's theorem.

3. BEHAVIOUR OF CERTAIN POWER SERIES ON THE UNIT DISK AT THE POINT 1

In this section we give the behaviour at 1 of certain power series on the unit disk when we know the behaviour of the coefficients. We did not find these results in the litterature where people usually consider the case of the circle or the interval $] - 1, 1[$. However we will closely follow a proof of Zygmund in the case of the circle. We will state the results here and leave the proofs to the appendix.

Following Zygmund, we say that $b :]u_0, +\infty[\rightarrow]0, +\infty[$ is slowly varying if for any $\delta > 0$, $u^\delta b(u)$ and $u^{-\delta} b(u)$ are respectively non decreasing, non increasing, for u large enough. In particular, for every $k > 0$, $b(ku) \underset{+\infty}{\sim} b(u)$ (even uniformly in $k \in [\eta, 1/\eta]$, $0 < \eta < 1$). Hence our slowly varying functions are a particular case of the usual concept of slowly varying functions.

Following Zhao-Woodroffe [20], define for any slowly varying function b

$$\gamma_n := \frac{c}{n} \sum_{k \geq n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^3 b(k)}}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

where c is chosen such that $\sum_{n \geq 1} \gamma_n = 1$,

$$B(z) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \gamma_n z^n \quad \forall z \in \overline{D}.$$

Then B is well-defined and continuous, since the series is absolutely converging. Moreover B is a convex combination of elements of $\overline{D}$, hence $B(z) = 1$ if and only if $z = 1$, and $A := \frac{1}{1-B}$ defines a continuous function on $\overline{D} - \{1\}$ analytic on D . Hence there exists $\{\alpha_n\}$ such that

$$(3) \quad A(z) = \frac{1}{1-B(z)} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_n z^n \quad \forall z \in D.$$

We will show in the appendix that

Proposition 3.1. *Let b be any monotonic differentiable slowly varying function and let A be as above. We have*

- (i) $|A(z)| \underset{1}{\sim} \frac{\sqrt{b(\frac{1}{|1-z|})}}{2c\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{|1-z|}}.$
- (ii) *There exists $K > 0$ such that $\sup_{n \geq 0} |\sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k z^k| \leq K \frac{\sqrt{b(\frac{1}{|1-z|})}}{\sqrt{|1-z|}}.$*
- (iii) *The series in (3) converges on $\overline{D} - \{1\}$, and the identity (3) holds on $\overline{D} - \{1\}.$*

The proof uses the following proposition (of independent interest).

Proposition 3.2. *Let $0 < \beta < 1$ and b be a slowly varying function. Then*

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{b(n)z^n}{n^\beta} = \Gamma(1-\beta)(1-z)^{\beta-1}b\left(\frac{1}{|1-z|}\right) + o(|1-z|^{\beta-1}b\left(\frac{1}{|1-z|}\right)) \quad z \rightarrow 1,$$

where the power series is convergent on $\overline{D} - \{1\}.$

The proof of Proposition 3.2 will be done in the appendix too.

Theorem 3.3. *Let b be any slowly varying function, and let $\{\alpha_n\}$ be defined as above. For any normal contraction or isometry T on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and any $f \in \mathcal{H}$ with spectral measure μ_f , the following are equivalent*

- (i) $\sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_n T^n(f)$ converges in norm.
- (ii) $\int_{\overline{D}} \frac{b(\frac{1}{|1-z|})}{|1-z|} \mu_f(dz) < +\infty.$
- (iii) $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{b(n)\|U_n(f)\|^2}{n^2} < +\infty.$

Proof:

Let T be a normal contraction of a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}.$

By Proposition 3.1, we can apply Proposition 2.3 with $\psi(x) = \frac{\sqrt{xb(x)}}{2c\sqrt{\pi}},$ to show that (i) and (ii) are equivalent.

Hence it remains to show that (ii) is equivalent to (iii). We will apply Lemma 2.1 with $\chi(x) := xb(x).$

We just need to show that there exists $\tau > 1,$ such that $\chi(2^{n+1}) \geq \tau\chi(2^n),$ for every $n \geq 1.$ We have

$$\chi(2^{n+1}) = 2\chi(2^n) \frac{b(2^n)}{b(2^{n+1})},$$

and, since b is slowly varying, $\frac{b(2^n)}{b(2^{n+1})} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 1.$

So the theorem is proved for normal $T.$ In case T is an isometry, the result follows by the unitary dilation as in Lemma 2.3 of [4]. $\square$

Remarks:

1. It was proved in [20] (see the proof of Proposition 4), that a sufficient condition

for (i) is $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\sqrt{b(n)} \|S_n(f)\|}{n^{3/2}} < +\infty$.

2. Using Proposition 2.2, we can characterize the fact that $f \in (I - T)^\alpha \mathcal{H}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ (see [6] for the definition) by $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\|S_n(f)\|^2}{n^{3-2\alpha}}$.

4. ERGODIC THEOREMS WITH RATE

We now give some ergodic theorems with rates as applications of the previous section and of a result from [20], inspired by a method of [6]. A different extension of [6] may be found in [4].

Let us recall first the result of Zhao and Woodroffe [20]. For a contraction T of a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, define

$$A(T)(f) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_n T^n(f),$$

whenever the series converging, where $\{\alpha_n\}$ is defined by (3).

Theorem 4.1 (Zhao-Woodroffe, [20]). *Let b be any non decreasing slowly varying function. Let θ be a measure preserving transformation of (X, Σ, ν) and T be the isometry induced by θ on $L^2(X, \nu)$. Let $f \in L^2(X, \nu)$ such that the series giving $A(T)(f)$ converges in $L^2(X, \nu)$. Then*

$$(4) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{nb^*(n)}} \sum_{k=1}^n T^k f \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{} 0 \quad \nu\text{-a.s.},$$

where $b^*(n) := \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{kb(k)}$.

Remarks:

1. The theorem, as it is stated does not appear in [20], but it is an easy consequence of their Proposition 4 and Theorem 2, see also the proofs therein.

2. It can be checked that the conclusion of Theorem 4.1 holds for slowly varying function (with our definition) without the non decreasing assumption, under the extra assumption $b(n)b^*(n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{} +\infty$, which is needed to ensure (18) in [20]. In

particular, (4) remains valid for b of the form $b(x) = \frac{1}{(\log x)^\alpha (\log \log x)^\beta}$.

3. As in [6], the proof of Theorem 4.1 applies for T a Dunford-Schwarz operators (that is T a contraction of every $L^p(X, \Sigma, \nu)$, $(1 \leq p \leq \infty)$).

Using Theorem 3.3 we deduce

Theorem 4.2. *Let θ be a measure preserving transformation of (X, Σ, ν) and T be the operator induced by θ on $L^2(X, \Sigma, \nu)$. Let b any non decreasing slowly varying function. Let $f \in L^2(X)$, such that*

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{b(n) \|\sum_{k=1}^n T^k f\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2}{n^2} < +\infty.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{nb^*(n)}} \sum_{k=1}^n T^k f \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0 \quad \nu\text{-a.s.},$$

where $b^*(n) := \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{kb(k)}$.

Proof:

Apply Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 4.1.

Remarks:

1. The theorem is also true with b of the form $b(x) = \frac{1}{(\log x)^\alpha (\log \log x)^\beta}$, see the previous remark.

2. By the previous remark 3, the theorem is valid for T a Dunford-Schwarz operator, such that the restriction of T to $L^2(X, \Sigma, \nu)$ is either an isometry or a normal contraction (for instance a normal Markov operator).

Theorem 4.3. Let θ be a measure preserving transformation of (X, Σ, ν) . Let $f \in L^2(\nu)$ and $\delta > 1$, such that

$$(5) \quad \sum_{n \geq 3} \frac{\log n (\log \log n)^\delta \|\sum_{k=1}^n f \circ \theta^k\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2}{n^2} < +\infty.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^n f \circ \theta^k \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0 \quad \nu\text{-a.s.}$$

and the series

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{f \circ \theta^n}{\sqrt{n}}$$

converges ν -a.s.

Proof:

Apply the previous theorem with $b = \log(\log \log)^\delta$. Then $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{b(n)} < +\infty$ and the first assertion follows. To prove the second one, notice that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{f \circ \theta^k}{\sqrt{k}} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} U_k \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+1}} \right) + \frac{U_n}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

The second term is converging to 0 by the previous result and the series on the right hand side is ν -a.s. absolutely converging since, by Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1} |U_n| \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}} \right| &\leq C \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n \log n (\log \log n)^\delta}} \frac{|U_n| \sqrt{n \log n (\log \log n)^\delta}}{n} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n \log n (\log \log n)^\delta} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\log n (\log \log n)^\delta |U_n|^2}{n^2} \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and the second series is converging by Beppo-Levi's theorem. $\square$

Remarks.

1. We could give a more general result by taking any slowly varying b such that $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{nb(n)} < +\infty$.
2. It was proved in [4] (Theorem 3.3) that the conclusion of Theorem 4.2 holds under the condition $\sup_{n \geq 3} \frac{(\log n)^{3/2} (\log \log n)^\tau}{\sqrt{n}} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n f \circ \theta^k \right\|_{L^2(\nu)} < +\infty$, for some $\tau > 1$.
3. In [20], the condition $\sum_{n \geq 3} \frac{(\log n)^{1/2} (\log \log n)^\delta}{n^{3/2}} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n f \circ \theta^k \right\|_{L^2(\nu)} < +\infty$, for some $\delta > 1/2$ was shown to be sufficient.
4. Our condition is better than the ones from [4] and [20], and those two seems to be uncomparable. To see that our condition is better than the one in [20], using the subadditivity of $\{\|U_n(f)\|_2\}$ as in [16], one obtain $\frac{n}{8} \frac{(\log n)^{1/2} \|U_n f\|}{n^{3/2}} \leq \sum_{n/4 \leq k \leq n/2} \frac{(\log n)^{1/2} (\|U_k f\| + \|U_{n-k}(f)\|)}{n^{3/2}} \leq \sum_{n/4 \leq k \leq 3n/4} \frac{(\log k)^{1/2} \|U_k f\|}{k^{3/2}} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0$, which, combined again with the condition in **3.** gives (5).
5. A good condition was obtained by Wu in [19], based on the dyadic chaining. His proof leads to a weak type maximal inequality and works in L^p spaces. It was shown there that for all $\eta > 0$,

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \nu \left\{ \max_{k=1}^{2^n} \left| \sum_{l=1}^k f \circ \theta^k \right| \geq \eta \sqrt{2^n} \right\} \leq \frac{2}{\eta^2} \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} \left(\frac{\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{2^j} f \circ \theta^k \right\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2}{2^j} \right)^{1/3} \right)^3.$$

We were not able to compare our condition to the one of [19] but in the applications we will see that our condition yields better results.

Theorem 4.4. *Let θ be a measure preserving transformation of (X, Σ, ν) . Let $f \in L^2(\nu)$ such that*

$$\sum_{n \geq 3} \frac{\log n \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n f \circ \theta^k \right\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2}{n^2} < +\infty.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n \log \log n}} \sum_{k=1}^n f \circ \theta^k \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{} 0 \quad \nu\text{-a.s.}$$

Proof:

Apply Theorem 4.2 with $b = \log$. Then $\sum_{k=2}^n \frac{1}{n \log n} \sim \log \log n$. $\square$

Finally, we obtain

Theorem 4.5. *Let θ be a measure preserving transformation of (X, Σ, ν) . Let $f \in L^2(\nu)$ such that there exists $\beta > 1$ such that*

$$\sum_{n \geq 3} \frac{\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n f \circ \theta^k \right\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2}{n^2 \log n (\log \log n)^\beta} < +\infty.$$

Then

$$(6) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{n} \log n (\log \log n)^{\beta/2}} \sum_{k=1}^n f \circ \theta^k \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{} 0 \quad \nu\text{-a.s.}$$

Proof:

Apply Theorem 4.2, see the remark after the theorem, with $b(x) = \frac{1}{\log x (\log \log x)^\beta}$.

Then $b^*(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\log n (\log \log n)^\beta}{n} \sim (\log n)^2 (\log \log n)^\beta$.

Remarks:

1. It follows from Theorem 4.5, that (6) holds for every $\beta > 1$ for every f satisfying

$$(7) \quad \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n f \circ \theta^k \right\|_{L^2(\nu)}}{\sqrt{n}} < +\infty.$$

2. Under (7), the conclusion (6) was shown to hold with a power $\alpha > 3/2$ on the logarithm in [2] and [18] for Dunford-Schwarz operators and in [11] for unitary operators.

5. APPLICATIONS TO THE QUENCHED CLT AND THE LIL

We now give some applications of the previous section to the study of ergodic stationary processes. The main purpose is to obtain conditions under which the process may be approximated by a martingale such that the remainder will satisfy the assumptions of Theorems 4.3 or 4.4. Then we can obtain limit theorems such as the quenched CLT or Law of the Iterated Logarithm (LIL).

Let $\{X_n\}$ be a stationary ergodic process in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, with natural filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ and θ the shift associated. Write $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$.

Definition: We say that $\{X_n\}$ admits a martingale approximation if there exists $M \in L^2$ such that $\{M_n := \sum_{k=1}^n M \circ \theta^k\}$ is a martingale with respect to $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ and, if $R_n := S_n - M_n$, satisfies to $\|R_n\| = o(\sqrt{n})$.

Clearly, if $\{X_n\}$ admits a martingale approximation, then $\{M_n\}$ is unique and

$$(8) \quad \sigma^2 := \mathbb{E}[M^2] = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[S_n^2]}{n}.$$

In particular, the coming construction yields to the same martingale approximation, but the way to obtain it gives different estimates.

We will be also concerned with the quenched central limit theorem for Markov chains.

Let $\{W_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a stationary ergodic Markov chain with state space $(\mathbb{S}, \mathcal{S})$, transition probability P , invariant initial distribution m , and corresponding Markov operator P on $L^2(\mathcal{S}, m)$. For $x \in \mathbb{S}$, denote by $\mathbb{P}_x$ the probability of the chain starting from x , defined on the product σ -algebra of $\Omega := \mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{N}}$. We will write $\mathbb{P}_m$ the probability of the chain starting according to m .

Throughout the paper the Markov chain will be as above and we will use these notations.

Recall that, since m is invariant, the chain $\{W_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ may be extended to a chain indexed by $\mathbf{Z}$.

Definition: Let $\{W_n\}$ be a Markov chain as above, and $f \in L^2(\mathcal{S}, m)$. We say that $\{f(W_n)\}$ satisfies the quenched CLT and invariance principle, if, for m -almost every $x \in \mathcal{S}$, the sequence $\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^n f(W_k)\}$ converges in distribution, in the space $(\Omega, \mathbb{P}_x)$ to a (possibly degenerate) Gaussian distribution $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma(f)^2)$ (with variance $\sigma(f)^2$ independent of x), and if also the invariance principle holds.

5.1. Using Wu's estimates for the martingale approximation. Let $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}$ be an ergodic stationary process on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with $\|X_0\|_2 < +\infty$. Let $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}$ be the natural filtration of $\{X_n\}$ and θ the shift associated with $\{X_n\}$.

Following Wu [19], we define

- $S_n := \sum_{k=1}^n X_k \quad \forall n \geq 1.$
- $Z_{i,k} := \mathbb{E}[X_i | \mathcal{F}_k] - \mathbb{E}[X_i | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] \quad \forall i \geq k.$
- $\Theta_m := \sum_{i \geq m} \|Z_{i,0}\|_2 \quad \forall m \geq 0.$
- $D_k := \sum_{i > k} Z_{i,k} \quad \forall k \geq 1 \quad (\text{whenever the series converges } \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.})$
- $M_n := \sum_{k=1}^n D_k \quad \forall n \geq 1.$
- $R_n := S_n - M_n \quad \forall n \geq 1.$

Clearly, if $\Theta_0 < +\infty$, then $\{D_k\}$ is well defined and, for every $k \geq 0$, $D_k = D_0 \circ \theta^k$. Moreover $\{M_n\}$ is a stationary martingale (with ergodic increments). Wu proved the following

Theorem 5.1 (Wu, [19]). *Let $\{X_n\}$ be an ergodic stationary process with $\mathbb{E}[X_0^2] < +\infty$ and $\mathbb{E}[X_0] = 0$. If $\Theta_0 < +\infty$, then there exists $K > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E}[R_n^2] \leq K \sum_{j=1}^n \Theta_j^2.$$

Remark. The theorem in [19] is stated when $\{X_n\}$ is the functional of a Markov chain but the proof uses only the stationarity of the process.

We deduce the following

Theorem 5.2. *Let b be any slowly varying function. Let $\{X_n\}$ be an ergodic centered stationary process in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ such that*

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{b(n) \Theta_n^2}{n} < +\infty.$$

Then

$$\frac{R_n}{\sqrt{nb^*(n)}} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0 \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.},$$

where $b^*(n) := \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{kb(k)}$.

Proof:

Define $V_1 = R_1$ and for every $n \geq 2$, $V_n := R_n - R_{n-1}$. Then $V_{n+1} = V_1 \circ \theta^n$ and $R_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} V_1 \circ \theta^k$. Hence, by Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 5.1, the conclusion of theorem 5.2 holds as soon as

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{b(n)}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \Theta_k^2 < +\infty.$$

But, using that $b(n)/\sqrt{n}$ is non increasing at infinity, we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{b(n)}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \Theta_k^2 = \sum_{k \geq 1} \Theta_k^2 \sum_{n \geq k} \frac{b(n)}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \leq C \sum_{k \geq 1} \Theta_k^2 \frac{b(k)}{k},$$

which proves the theorem. $\square$

Theorem 5.3. *Let $\{X_n\}$ be an ergodic centered stationary process in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ such that*

$$(9) \quad \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\log n \Theta_n^2}{n} < +\infty.$$

Then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n \log \log n}} = \sigma \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.},$$

where σ is defined in (8)

Proof:

By the previous theorem with $b := \log$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{R_n}{\sqrt{n \log \log n}} = 0 \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

The result follows then from the identity $S_n = M_n + R_n$ and Stout's law of the iterated logarithm (see [17]) applied to the martingale $\{M_n\}$. $\square$

Proposition 5.4. *Let $\{X_n\}$ be an ergodic centered stationary process in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, such that $\sum_{n \geq 1} (\log n)^3 \|\mathbb{E}[X_n | \mathcal{F}_0]\|^2 < +\infty$, then (9) holds.*

Proof:

Using Cauchy-Schwarz, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Theta_n^2 &= \left(\sum_{k \geq n} (\|\mathbb{E}[X_k | \mathcal{F}_0]\|^2 - \|\mathbb{E}[X_{k+1} | \mathcal{F}_0]\|^2)^{1/2} \right)^2 \\
 &\leq \left(\sum_{k \geq n} \frac{1}{k(\log k)^2} \right) \left(\sum_{k \geq n} k(\log k)^2 (\|\mathbb{E}[X_k | \mathcal{F}_0]\|^2 - \|\mathbb{E}[X_{k+1} | \mathcal{F}_0]\|^2) \right) \\
 (10) \quad &\leq \frac{C_1}{\log n} \sum_{k \geq n} k(\log k)^2 (\|\mathbb{E}[X_k | \mathcal{F}_0]\|^2 - \|\mathbb{E}[X_{k+1} | \mathcal{F}_0]\|^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using Abel summation

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{k \geq n} k(\log k)^2 (\|\mathbb{E}[X_k | \mathcal{F}_0]\|^2 - \|\mathbb{E}[X_{k+1} | \mathcal{F}_0]\|^2) \\
 &= n(\log n)^2 \|\mathbb{E}[X_n | \mathcal{F}_0]\|^2 + \sum_{k \geq n+1} \|\mathbb{E}[X_k | \mathcal{F}_0]\|^2 (k(\log k)^2 - (k-1)(\log(k-1))^2) \\
 (11) \quad &\leq n(\log n)^2 \|\mathbb{E}[X_n | \mathcal{F}_0]\|^2 + C \sum_{k \geq n+1} \|\mathbb{E}[X_k | \mathcal{F}_0]\|^2 (\log k)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k \geq n+1} \|\mathbb{E}[X_k | \mathcal{F}_0]\|^2 (\log k)^2 = \sum_{k \geq 3} \|\mathbb{E}[X_k | \mathcal{F}_0]\|^2 (\log k)^2 \sum_{n=2}^{k-1} \frac{1}{k} \leq K \sum_{k \geq 3} \|\mathbb{E}[X_k | \mathcal{F}_0]\|^2 (\log k)^3,$$

which, combined with (10) and (11), yields the desired result. $\square$

Theorem 5.5. *Let $\{W_n\}$ be a stationary ergodic Markov chain. Let $f \in L^2(\mathcal{S}, m)$, such that there exists $\delta > 1$ such that*

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\log n (\log \log n)^\delta}{n} \left(\sum_{k \geq n} (\|P^{k-1} f\|_{L^2(m)}^2 - \|P^k f\|_{L^2(m)}^2)^{1/2} \right)^2 < +\infty,$$

then $\{f(W_n)\}$ satisfies the quenched CLT and invariance principle.

Proof:

By Theorem 5.2 with $b := \log(\log \log)^\delta$, we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{R_n}{\sqrt{n}} = 0 \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.},$$

where $S_n = M_n + R_n$ and $\{M_n\}$ is a stationary martingale. The end of the proof is now similar to [8, p. 75]. $\square$

Remark.

The conclusion of the theorem may be deduced from Wu [19] under the condition $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\Theta_n^{2/3}}{n}$. Since $\{\Theta_n\}$ is non increasing, that condition implies that $\Theta_n = O((\log n)^{-3/2})$, which implies that our condition is satisfied.

5.2. Using Kipnis-Varadhan's method for martingale approximation.

Kipnis and Varadhan discovered a useful way to obtain martingale approximation in the case of a Markov chain. They worked with Markov chains with symmetric Markov operator, but the method applies in general, as it was done by Maxwell-Woodroffe [14]. It is mentioned in [14] that such an approximation may be obtained (from the Markov chain case) for a general stationary process $\{X_n\}$ by considering the process $\{W_n\}$ defined as $W_n := (\dots, X_{n-1}, X_n)$. We will show that actually the method applies directly to general stationary processes. See also ([15], p. 9) for a general (related) scheme of approximation by martingale.

Let $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be an ergodic stationary process in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. let $S_n := X_1 + \dots + X_n$. Define

$$\Gamma_t := \sum_{n \geq 0} t^n X_n \quad \forall 0 \leq t < 1,$$

where the series converges in L^2 , for every $t \in [0, 1[$. Moreover, taking $\{t_k\} \subset [0, 1)$ converging to 1 and using Beppo-Levi's theorem, there exists $\Omega_0 \in \mathcal{F}$, with $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_0) = 1$, such that for every $\omega \in \Omega_0$, the series giving $\Gamma_t(\omega)$ is absolutely convergent for every $t \in [0, 1)$.

Then

$$(12) \quad \mathbb{E}[\Gamma_t | \mathcal{F}_0] = \sum_{n \geq 0} t^n \mathbb{E}[X_n | \mathcal{F}_0] \text{ and } X_0 = \mathbb{E}[\Gamma_t | \mathcal{F}_0] - t \mathbb{E}[\Gamma_t \circ \theta | \mathcal{F}_0].$$

Putting $t^n = (1 - t) \sum_{k \geq n} t^k$ in Γ_t and using Fubini, we obtain $\Gamma_t = (1 - t) \sum_{n \geq 0} t^n S_n$. Hence

$$(13) \quad \|\mathbb{E}[\Gamma_t | \mathcal{F}_0]\|_2 \leq (1 - t) \sum_{n \geq 0} t^n \|\mathbb{E}[S_n | \mathcal{F}_0]\|_2.$$

Let θ be the shift associated with $\{X_n\}$ and $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ be its natural filtration. Define

$$\varphi_t := (\mathbb{E}[\Gamma_t | \mathcal{F}_0]) \circ \theta - \mathbb{E}[\Gamma_t \circ \theta | \mathcal{F}_0] = (\mathbb{E}[\Gamma_t \circ \theta | \mathcal{F}_1]) - \mathbb{E}[\Gamma_t \circ \theta | \mathcal{F}_0]$$

Then $M_n(t) := \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_t \circ \theta^k$ is a martingale with (ergodic) stationary increments.

We would like to show that under some estimates on $\{\|\mathbb{E}[S_n | \mathcal{F}_0]\|_2\}$, $\{M_n(t)\}$ converges in L^2 , when t goes to 1, to a martingale $\{M_n\}$ with stationary increments such that one can estimate $\{\|S_n - M_n\|_2\}$.

Proposition 5.6. *Let $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be an ergodic stationary process such that*

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{(\log n)^2 (\log \log n)^\tau}{\sqrt{n}} \|\mathbb{E}[S_n | \mathcal{F}_0]\|_2 < +\infty,$$

for some $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$.

Then $\{X_n\}$ admits a martingale approximation $\{M_n\}$ such that

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{\log n (\log \log n)^\tau}{\sqrt{n}} \|S_n - M_n\|_2 < +\infty.$$

Proof: see the appendix.

Theorem 5.7. Let $\{X_n\}$ be an ergodic centered stationary process in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ such that there exists $\tau > 1/2$

$$(14) \quad \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{(\log n)^2 (\log \log n)^\tau}{\sqrt{n}} \|\mathbb{E}[S_n | \mathcal{F}_0]\|_2 < +\infty.$$

Then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{S_n}{\sqrt{2n \log \log n}} = \sigma \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.},$$

where σ is defined by (8).

Proof:

By Proposition 5.6, there exists a martingale $\{M_n\}$ and $R \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$, such that, $R_n := S_n - M_n = \sum_{k=1}^n R \circ \theta^k$ and $\sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{\log n (\log \log n)^\tau}{\sqrt{n}} \|R_n\|_2 < +\infty$. Then apply, Theorem 4.4 with $f := R$ to obtain $\frac{R_n}{\sqrt{n \log \log n}} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow} 0$. Thus the result follows from Stout's LIL for martingale, see [17]. $\square$

Remark:

Zhao and Woodroffe [20] obtained the conclusion of the theorem under the condition $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(\log n)^{3/2}}{n \sqrt{n}} \|\mathbb{E}[S_n | \mathcal{F}_0]\|_2 < +\infty$. Using the subadditivity $\{\|\mathbb{E}[S_n | \mathcal{F}_0]\|_2\}$, it can be shown that the previous condition implies $\frac{(\log n)^{3/2}}{\sqrt{n}} \|\mathbb{E}[S_n | \mathcal{F}_0]\|_2 \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0$.

If one knew that $\{\frac{\|\mathbb{E}[S_n | \mathcal{F}_0]\|_2}{\sqrt{n}}\}$ is non increasing, one could deduce from the Zhao-Woodroffe condition that $\frac{(\log n)^{5/2}}{\sqrt{n}} \|\mathbb{E}[S_n | \mathcal{F}_0]\|_2 \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0$, which implies our condition. Of course there is no reason why this monotony assumption should be satisfied but from a practical point of view one has to estimate $\|\mathbb{E}[S_n | \mathcal{F}_0]\|_2$ and such an estimation often leads to monotony.

Theorem 5.8. Let $\{W_n\}$ be a stationary ergodic Markov chain. Let $f \in L^2(\mathcal{S}, m)$ such that there exists $\delta > 1$ such that

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{(\log n)^2 (\log \log n)^\delta}{\sqrt{n}} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n P^k f \right\|_2 < +\infty$$

then $\{f(W_n)\}$ satisfies the quenched CLT and invariance principle.

Proof:

Apply Proposition 5.6 to the process $\{f(W_n)\}$, noticing that $\mathbb{E}[f(W_n) | \mathcal{F}_0] = P^n f(W_0)$, to obtain a martingale $\{M_n\}$ such that $\sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{\log n (\log \log n)^\delta}{\sqrt{n}} \|S_n - M_n\|_2 < +\infty$.

$+\infty$. Then apply Theorem 4.3 and the proof may be finished as in [8, p. 76]. $\square$

Remarks:

This theorem improves a theorem of [4] where we obtained a condition with a power 5/2 on the logarithm. The condition $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(\log n)^{3/2} (\log \log n)^\tau}{\sqrt{n}} \|\sum_{k=1}^n P^k f\|_2 < +\infty$ for some $\tau > 1$ was shown to be sufficient in [20].

6. THE CASE OF MARKOV CHAINS WITH NORMAL TRANSITION OPERATOR

Let $\{W_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a stationary ergodic Markov chain with state space $(\mathbb{S}, \mathcal{S})$, transition probability P , invariant initial distribution m , and corresponding Markov operator P on $L^2(\mathcal{S}, m)$. For $f \in L^2(\mathcal{S}, m)$, denote $S_n(f) := \sum_{k=1}^n f(W_k)$.

Throughout this section we assume that P is a normal operator on $L^2(\mathcal{S}, m)$, that is $PP^* = P^*P$.

Define $U_n(f) := \sum_{k=1}^n P^k(f)$.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $\{W_n\}$ be a Markov chain with normal transition operator as above and $f \in L^2(\mathcal{S}, m)$, such that $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\|U_n(f)\|_2^2}{n^2} < +\infty$. Then $\{f(W_n)\}$ admits a martingale approximation $\{M_n\}$ such that*

$$(15) \quad \frac{1}{n} \|S_n(f) - M_n\|_2^2 \leq C \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\|U_k(f)\|_2^2}{k} + \sum_{k \geq n+1} \frac{\|U_k(f)\|_2^2}{k^2} \right).$$

Remark: The fact that $\|S_n(f) - M_n\|_2 = o(\sqrt{n})$ follows from our assumption and Kronecker's lemma. In view of Proposition 2.2, we obtain that whenever $f \in \sqrt{I - \overline{P}}L^2(\mathcal{S}, m)$, $\{f(W_n)\}$ admits a martingale approximation. In particular, we recover that for a normal P , the condition $f \in \sqrt{I - \overline{P}}L^2(\mathcal{S}, m)$ implies the central limit theorem (see [13] for P symmetric and [5] for P normal).

Proof: see the appendix.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $\{W_n\}$ be a stationary ergodic Markov chain (as above) whose Markov operator P is normal on $L^2(\mathcal{S}, m)$. Let $f \in L^2(\mathcal{S}, m)$.*

(i) *If there exists $\delta > 1$ such that*

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(\log n)^2 (\log \log n)^\delta \|\sum_{k=1}^n P^k f\|_2^2}{n^2} < +\infty$$

then $\{f(W_n)\}$ satisfies the quenched CLT and invariance principle.

(ii) *If*

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(\log n)^2 \|\sum_{k=1}^n P^k f\|_2^2}{n^2} < +\infty,$$

then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n f(X_k)}{\sqrt{2n \log \log n}} = \sigma \quad \mathbb{P}_m\text{-a.s.}$$

Proof:

By proposition 6.1, there exists a martingale $\{M_n\}$ such that $R_n := S_n(f) - M_n$ satisfies (15), and $R_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} R \circ \theta^k$.

Let $\delta \geq 0$. we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\log n (\log \log n)^\delta}{n^2} \|R_n\|^2 &\leq \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\log n (\log \log n)^\delta}{n^2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\|U_k(f)\|_2^2}{k} + n \sum_{k \geq n} \frac{\|U_k(f)\|_2^2}{k^2} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\|S_k(f)\|_2^2}{k} \sum_{n \geq k} \frac{\log n (\log \log n)^\delta}{n^2} + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\|U_k(f)\|_2^2}{k} \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{\log n (\log \log n)^\delta}{n} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(\log k)^2 (\log \log k)^\delta \|U_k(f)\|_2^2}{k^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\delta = 0$ (respectively $\delta > 1$), one may apply Theorem 4.4 (resp. Theorem 4.3) to obtain

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n \log \log n}} W_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0 \quad \mathbb{P}_m\text{-a.s.}$$

respectively

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} W_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0 \quad \mathbb{P}_m\text{-a.s.}$$

Then the proof may be finished as in Theorem 5.3 or Theorem 5.5. $\square$

Corollary 6.3. *Assume that $\sum_{n \geq 1} (\log n)^2 (\log \log n)^\delta \|P^n f\|^2 < +\infty$. Then, if $\delta > 1$, (i) of Theorem 6.2 is valid, and if $\delta = 0$, (ii) is valid.*

Proof:

Denote μ_f the spectral measure of f associated with P . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n P^k f \right\|^2 &= \int_{D_1} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n z^k \right|^2 \mu_f(dz) \leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^k \int_{D_1} |z|^{k+l} \mu_f(dz) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^k \|P^{[(l+k)/2]} f\|^2 \leq 4 \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=[(k+1)/2]}^k \|P^l f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(\log n)^2 (\log \log n)^\delta \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n P^k f \right\|_2^2}{n^2} \\
& \leq 4 \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{l=[(k+1)/2]}^k \|P^l f\|^2 \sum_{n \geq k} \frac{(\log n)^2 (\log \log n)^\delta}{n^2} \\
& \leq C \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(\log k)^2 (\log \log k)^\delta}{k} \sum_{l=[(k+1)/2]}^k \|P^l f\|^2 \\
& \leq C' \sum_{l \geq 1} \|P^l f\|^2 \sum_{k=l}^{2l+1} \frac{(\log k)^2 (\log \log k)^\delta}{k} \leq C'' \sum_{l \geq 1} \|P^l f\|^2 (\log l)^2 (\log \log l)^\delta
\end{aligned}$$

7. COMPARISON OF THE CONDITIONS OF THE THEOREMS AND EXAMPLES

We applied the results of section 2 to two different methods (Wu [19] and Zhao-Woodroffe [20]) to obtain martingale approximations. As we already mentioned the results from section 2 essentially yields to weaker conditions than the ones obtained in [19] and [20]. Now, we would like to compare our own conditions.

Let $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}$ be iid centered random variables with $\mathbb{E}[|\varepsilon_1|^2] < \infty$. Let $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ such that $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n^2 < +\infty$. Define the linear process $X_n := \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k \varepsilon_{n-k}$.

Proposition 7.1. *There exists a linear process $\{X_n\}$ satisfying (9) but which does not satisfy (14).*

Proof:

Define $a_i := \frac{1}{k^{9/4}}$ if there exists $k \geq 1$ such that $i = 2^k$ and $a_i = 0$ otherwise. Let $\{X_n\}$ be the associated linear process.

Let show first that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{n \geq 3} \frac{(\log n)^{7/4}}{\sqrt{n}} \|\mathbb{E}[S_n | \mathcal{F}_0]\|_2 \geq C.$$

Let $k \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathbb{E}[S_{2^k}^2]\|^2 &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (a_{j+1} + \dots + a_{j+2^k})^2 \geq \sum_{l \geq k} \sum_{j=2^l-2^k}^{2^l-1} a_{2^l}^2 \\
&\geq 2^k \sum_{l \geq k} a_{2^l}^2 \geq \frac{2^k}{k^{7/2}},
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the above claim result.

On the other hand, for every $k \geq 2$, we have $\Theta_{2^k} = \sum_{n \geq 2^k} a_n = \sum_{l \geq k} \frac{1}{l^{9/4}} =$

$O(\frac{1}{k^{5/4}})$. Hence

$$\sum_{k \geq 2} k \Theta_{2^k}^2 \leq C \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{1}{k^{3/2}} < +\infty,$$

which implies the convergence of the series in (9) since $\{\Theta_n\}$ is non increasing.

We now recall an example that we considered in [4], in order to compare theorems 3.3 and 5.2 in case of a Markov chain with normal transition operator.

Take $\alpha := 2e$. Let R_α be the rotation of the unit circle of angle α and define $P = P_\alpha := \frac{1}{4}(2I + R_\alpha + R_{-\alpha})$. Then P is a symmetric operator (i.e. $P = P^*$).

Proposition 7.2. *There exists $f \in L^2[0, 1]$ such that*

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(\log n)^2}{n^2} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n P_\alpha^k f \right\|^2 < +\infty,$$

and, for every $\delta > 1$,

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\log n (\log \log n)^\delta}{n} \left(\sum_{k \geq n} (\|P_\alpha^k f\|_2^2 - \|P_\alpha^{k+1} f\|_2^2)^{1/2} \right)^2 = +\infty.$$

In particular, Theorem 6.2 (ii) applies while Theorem 5.5 does not

Proof:

Let $f \in L^2[0, 1]$, with Fourier expansion $f(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} c_n e^{2i\pi n x}$. Then, for every $0 \leq x \leq 1$,

$$P^k f(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} c_n \cos^{2k}(\pi n \alpha) e^{2i\pi n x}.$$

If $n = l!$, for some $l \geq 3$, define $c_{-n} = c_n = \frac{1}{n^{3/2}(\log n)^2}$, and define $c_n = 0$ otherwise. We have

$$\|P^{k+1} f\|_2^2 - \|P^k f\|_2^2 = 2 \sum_{n \geq 3} c_n^2 \cos^{4k}(\pi n! \alpha) (1 - \cos^4(\pi n! \alpha)).$$

It follows from Lemma 5.4 of [4] and from the proof of Lemma 5.5, that there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that, for every $n \geq 2\pi$ and every $k \leq n^2$, $1 - \cos(\pi n! \alpha) \geq \frac{C_1}{n^2}$ and $\cos^{4k}(\pi n! \alpha) \geq C_1$. Hence we have, for every $k \geq 4\pi^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \|P^{k+1} f\|_2^2 - \|P^k f\|_2^2 &\geq \sum_{n \geq \sqrt{k}} \frac{C_1^2}{n^5 (\log n)^4} \\ &\geq \frac{C_2}{k^2 (\log k)^4}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{k \geq n} (\|P_\alpha^k f\|_2^2 - \|P_\alpha^{k+1} f\|_2^2)^{1/2} \geq \frac{C_3}{\log k},$$

which yields to the desired conclusion.

It remains to prove (18).

By Lemma 5.5 of [4], there exists $K > 0$ such that for every $m \geq 1$

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^m P_\alpha^k \right\|_2^2 \leq K + K \sum_{7 \leq n \leq \sqrt{m}} n^4 c_{n!}^2 + Km^2 \sum_{n > \sqrt{m}} c_{n!}^2.$$

Hence

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n P_\alpha^k \right\|_2^2 \leq C + \frac{Cn}{(\log n)^4},$$

which proves that (18) is satisfied.

We now look at the case of ρ -mixing processes. Let $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}$ be a stationary process, define $\rho(n) := \sup\{\|E[Y|\mathcal{F}_0]\|_2 / \|Y\|_2 : Y \in L^2(\mathcal{F}^n), \mathbb{E}[Y] = 0\}$, where $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma\{X_k, k \geq n\}$. Then, by [15, p. 15], we have

$$\|\mathbb{E}[S_{2^{r+1}}|\mathcal{F}_0]\|_2 \leq C \sum_{j=0}^r 2^{j/2} \rho(2^j) \quad r \geq 0.$$

Hence, conditions on $\{\rho(n)\}$ will allow us to control $\|\mathbb{E}[S_n|\mathcal{F}_0]\|_2$.

We obtain

Proposition 7.3. *Let $\{X_n\}$ be a stationary process such that $\rho(n) = O(\frac{1}{(\log n)^2 (\log \log n)^\tau})$ for some $\tau > 1/2$, then (14) holds.*

Remark:

The proposition is based on Theorem 5.7, while Theorem 5.3 does not really apply, since it seems that the only way to use $\{\rho(n)\}$ to check condition (9) is via Proposition 5.4 and the estimate $\|\mathbb{E}[X_n|\mathcal{F}_0]\| \leq \rho(n)$, which is not efficient.

Proof:

Let $n \geq 1$ and $2^r \leq n < 2^{r+1}$. We have, for every $1 \leq l \leq r$,

$$\|\mathbb{E}[S_{2^l}|\mathcal{F}_0]\|_2 \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \frac{2^{j/2}}{j^2 (\log j)^\delta} \leq C' \frac{2^{l/2}}{l^2 (\log l)^\delta}.$$

Hence,

$$\|\mathbb{E}[S_n|\mathcal{F}_0]\|_2 \leq \sum_{l=0}^r \|\mathbb{E}[S_{2^l}|\mathcal{F}_0]\|_2 \leq K \frac{\sqrt{n}}{(\log n)^2 (\log \log n)^\delta}.$$

□

APPENDIX A. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.1

Recall (see e.g. [22] III, formula (1.9)), that, for $0 < \beta < 1$,

$$(16) \quad (1 - z)^{\beta-1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n(\beta) z^n \quad \forall |z| < 1,$$

where $\{a_n\}$ is decreasing to 0 and the right hand side is well defined for $|z| = 1$, $z \neq 1$, and defines a continuous function on $\overline{D} - \{1\}$. Moreover (see e.g. [22], III.1, formula (1.18)),

$$(17) \quad a_n(\beta) \underset{+\infty}{\sim} \frac{n^{-\beta}}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} (1 + O(\frac{1}{n})),$$

where Γ is the Euler's function. We have

Proof:

The convergence of the power series follows by Abel summation and the non increasingness assumption on b .

By (16) and (17), we have, on $\overline{D} - \{1\}$

$$(18) \quad \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{z^n}{n^\beta} = \Gamma(1-\beta)(1-z)^{\beta-1} + o(|1-z|^{\beta-1}) \quad z \rightarrow 1.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $0 < \omega < \Omega < +\infty$ and $z \in \overline{D} - \{1\}$. Write

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{z^n}{n^\beta} = \sum_{1 \leq n < \frac{\omega}{|1-z|}} \frac{z^n}{n^\beta} + \sum_{\frac{\omega}{|1-z|} \leq n \leq \frac{\Omega}{|1-z|}} \frac{z^n}{n^\beta} + \sum_{n > \frac{\Omega}{|1-z|}} \frac{z^n}{n^\beta} = S_1 + S_2 + S_3,$$

and the corresponding decomposition

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{b(n)z^n}{n^\beta} = T_1 + T_2 + T_3.$$

We have

$$|S_1| \leq \sum_{1 \leq n < \frac{\omega}{|1-z|}} \frac{1}{n^\beta} < \int_0^{\frac{\omega}{|1-z|}} \frac{dt}{t^\beta} < \frac{\omega^{1-\beta}}{(1-\beta)|1-z|^{1-\beta}}$$

and, by Abel summation and the fact that $|\sum_{k=0}^n z^k| = \left| \frac{1-z^{n+1}}{1-z} \right| \leq \frac{2}{|1-z|}$,

$$|S_3| \leq \frac{2}{|1-z|} \left(\frac{|1-z|}{\Omega} \right)^\beta.$$

So, one can find ω small enough and Ω large enough such that $|S_1| \leq \varepsilon |1-z|^{\beta-1}$, $|S_3| \leq \varepsilon |1-z|^{\beta-1}$.

Hence, by (18), for $z \in \overline{D} - \{1\}$ close to 1, we have

$$|S_2 - \Gamma(1-\beta)(1-z)^{\beta-1}| \leq 3\varepsilon |1-z|^{\beta-1}.$$

We now estimate T_1 and T_3 in the same way than S_1 and S_3 and we will estimate T_2 thanks to S_2 .

Let $\delta > 0$ be fixed such that $\beta + \delta < 1$. Since $u^\delta b(u)$ is increasing to infinity, there exists $C \geq 1$ and $v > 0$, such that for every $w \geq v$ and $1 \leq n < w$, $n^\delta b(n) \leq Cw^\delta b(w)$. Hence, provided that ω and z are chosen such that $\frac{\omega}{|1-z|} \geq v$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |T_1| &= \left| \sum_{1 \leq n < \frac{\omega}{|1-z|}} \frac{b(n)z^n}{n^\beta} \right| \leq \sum_{1 \leq n < \frac{\omega}{|1-z|}} \frac{b(n)n^\delta}{n^{\beta+\delta}} \\ &\leq b\left(\frac{\omega}{|1-z|}\right) \omega^\delta |1-z|^{-\delta} \int_0^{\frac{\omega}{|1-z|}} \frac{dt}{t^{\beta+\delta}} \leq \frac{\omega^{1-\beta}}{1-\beta-\delta} b\left(\frac{\omega}{|1-z|}\right) |1-z|^{\beta-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Fix ω small enough such that $\frac{\omega^{1-\beta}}{1-\beta-\delta} \leq \varepsilon$. Then, using that b is slowly varying, for every z close enough to 1, we have

$$|T_1| \leq 2\varepsilon b\left(\frac{1}{|1-z|}\right) |1-z|^{\beta-1}.$$

If $\Omega/|1-z|$ is large enough, $u^{-\beta}b(u)$ is decreasing for $u \geq \Omega/|1-z|$. Then we have, by Abel summation,

$$|T_3| \leq \frac{2}{|1-z|} b\left(\frac{1}{|1-z|}\right) \left(\frac{|1-z|}{\Omega}\right)^\beta.$$

So, for Ω large enough,

$$|T_3| \leq \varepsilon b\left(\frac{1}{|1-z|}\right) |1-z|^{\beta-1}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$T_2 = b\left(\frac{1}{|1-z|}\right) \sum_{\frac{\omega}{|1-z|} \leq n \leq \frac{\Omega}{|1-z|}} \frac{z^n}{n^\beta} + \sum_{\frac{\omega}{|1-z|} \leq n \leq \frac{\Omega}{|1-z|}} (b(n) - b\left(\frac{1}{|1-z|}\right)) \frac{z^n}{n^\beta} = b\left(\frac{1}{|1-z|}\right) S_2 + T'_2.$$

We already estimated S_2 , and we have

$$|T'_2| \leq \max_{\frac{\omega}{|1-z|} \leq n \leq \frac{\Omega}{|1-z|}} |b(n) - b\left(\frac{1}{|1-z|}\right)| \sum_{\frac{\omega}{|1-z|} \leq n \leq \frac{\Omega}{|1-z|}} \frac{1}{n^\beta} \leq \max_{\frac{\omega}{|1-z|} \leq n \leq \frac{\Omega}{|1-z|}} |b(n) - b\left(\frac{1}{|1-z|}\right)| \frac{|1-z|^{\beta-1}}{(1-\beta)} \Omega^{1-\beta}.$$

Since ω and Ω are fixed and b is slowly varying, for z close enough to 1 we have $|T'_2| \leq \varepsilon b\left(\frac{1}{|1-z|}\right) |1-z|^{\beta-1}$. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 3.1.

We first estimate B' and, by integration, we will estimate B .

We have

$$B'(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\sum_{k \geq n} \left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{k^3 b(k)}} \right) z^{n-1} \right) = \frac{c}{z-1} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{z^k - 1}{\sqrt{k^3 b(k)}},$$

where the permutation of the sums is clearly justified.

We will write $B'(z) := c \frac{C(z)}{z-1}$. Then C is analytic in D and continuous on $\overline{D}$ and $C(1) = 0$.

For every $z \in D$, $C'(z) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{z^{k-1}}{\sqrt{kb(k)}}$. As previously, the series giving C' defines a continuous function on $\overline{D} - \{1\}$.

Let $z \in \overline{D} - \{1\}$. Define $h_z(u) = C(1 + u(z-1))$, $u \in [0, 1]$. Then h_z is differentiable on $]0, 1]$, and for every $u \in]0, 1]$, we have

$$h'_z(u) = (z-1)C'(1 + u(z-1)) = (z-1) \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(1 + u(z-1))^{k-1}}{\sqrt{kb(k)}}.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By Proposition 3.2, there exists $\delta > 0$, such that, for every $z \in \overline{D} - \{1\}$, with $|1-z| < \delta$ and every $u \in]0, 1]$, we have, using $\Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi}$

$$\left| \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(1 + u(z-1))^{k-1}}{\sqrt{kb(k)}} - \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{b(\frac{1}{u|1-z|})}\sqrt{u(1-z)}} \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{b(\frac{1}{u|1-z|})}\sqrt{u|1-z|}}.$$

Hence

$$\left| h'_z(u) - \frac{-\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{1-z}}{\sqrt{ub(\frac{1}{u|1-z|})}} \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon\sqrt{|1-z|}}{\sqrt{ub(\frac{1}{u|1-z|})}}.$$

So, h'_z is integrable and we have $C(z) - C(1) = \int_0^1 h'_z(u) du$. Hence, for every $z \in \overline{D} - \{1\}$, with $|1-z| < \delta$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| C(z) - \int_0^1 \frac{-\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{1-z}}{\sqrt{ub(\frac{1}{u|1-z|})}} du \right| &\leq \varepsilon\sqrt{|1-z|} \int_0^1 \frac{du}{\sqrt{ub(\frac{1}{u|1-z|})}} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \int_0^{|1-z|} \frac{du}{\sqrt{ub(\frac{1}{u})}} \leq \frac{K_1\varepsilon\sqrt{|1-z|}}{\sqrt{b(\frac{1}{|1-z|})}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used that b is slowly varying. Finally, we obtain

$$\left| C(z) - \frac{-\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{1-z}}{\sqrt{|1-z|}} \int_0^{|1-z|} \frac{du}{\sqrt{ub(1/u)}} \right| \leq \frac{K_1\varepsilon\sqrt{|1-z|}}{\sqrt{b(\frac{1}{|1-z|})}}.$$

Since, b is slowly varying, we have (see e.g. [9, Theorem 1.b] using the change of variable $t := 1/u$)

$$(19) \quad \int_0^x \frac{du}{\sqrt{ub(1/u)}} \underset{0}{\sim} \frac{2\sqrt{x}}{b(1/x)}.$$

For every $z \in \overline{D} - \{1\}$, define $g_z(v) := B(1 + v(z - 1))$, $0 \leq v \leq 1$. Then g_z is differentiable on $]0, 1]$, and for every $v \in]0, 1]$, we have

$$g'_z(v) = (z - 1)B'(1 + v(z - 1)) = \frac{c}{v}C(1 + v(z - 1)).$$

Hence, for every $z \in \overline{D} - \{1\}$, with $|1 - z| < \delta$ and every $0 < v \leq 1$, using (19), we obtain

$$\left| g'_z(v) - \frac{-2\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{1-z}}{|1-z|\sqrt{v}\sqrt{b(\frac{1}{v|1-z|})}} \right| \leq \frac{K\varepsilon\sqrt{|1-z|}}{\sqrt{vb(\frac{1}{v|1-z|})}}.$$

Thus g'_z is integrable and $B(1) - B(z) = \int_0^1 g'_z(v)dv$.

Finally, using (19) and previous computations, for every $z \in \overline{D} - \{1\}$, with $|1 - z| < \eta$, $|1 - B(z) - \frac{-4\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{1-z}}{b(\frac{1}{|1-z|})}| \leq \frac{K'\varepsilon\sqrt{|1-z|}}{b(\frac{1}{|1-z|})}$, which proves the desired result.

Let prove (iii) and (ii).

Recall that

$$A(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_n z^n \quad \forall z \in D.$$

Moreover for every $0 < r < 1$ and $n \geq 0$,

$$(20) \quad \alpha_n = \frac{1}{2\pi r^n} \int_0^{2\pi} A(re^{it})e^{-int} dt.$$

Now observe that, for every $1/2 \leq r \leq 1$ and $0 \leq |t| \leq \pi/2$, $|1 - re^{it}| \geq r|\sin t| \geq \frac{2|t|r}{\pi} \geq |t|/2$. Hence, by (i), there exists $K > 0$, such that, for every $z = re^{it}$ close enough to 1,

$$|A(z)| \leq \frac{Kb(1/t)}{\sqrt{t}},$$

which defines an integrable function with respect to t . Since A is continuous on $\overline{D} - \{1\}$, changing K if necessary, we have

$$\sup_{1/2 \leq r \leq 1} |A(re^{it})| \leq \frac{Kb(1/t)}{\sqrt{t}}.$$

Thus, by Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem and (20)

$$(21) \quad \alpha_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} A(e^{it})e^{-int} dt \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

Similarly,

$$0 = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \int_0^{2\pi} A(re^{it})e^{int} dt = \int_0^{2\pi} A(e^{it})e^{int} dt.$$

Denote $a_1(t) := A(e^{it})$, $0 < t < 2\pi$. Then a_1 is integrable and admits $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ for Fourier coefficients. Since, by Corollary 3 of [20], a_1 is continuously differentiable, hence its Fourier series converging to a_1 on $]0, 2\pi[$.

Hence (iii) is proven.

To prove (ii), we use Proposition 3 of [20]: we have $|\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1}| = O(\frac{\sqrt{b(n)}}{\sqrt{n^3}})$. Since $\{\alpha_n\}$ are the Fourier coefficients of an integrable function, $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$, and

$$(22) \quad |\alpha_n| \leq \sum_{k \geq n} |\alpha_k - \alpha_{k+1}| = O(\frac{\sqrt{b(n)}}{\sqrt{n}}).$$

Let $z \in \overline{D} - \{1\}$ and $m \geq 0$.

If $\frac{1}{|1-z|} \geq m$, then

$$|\sum_{n=0}^m \alpha_n z^n| \leq \sum_{n=0}^m |\alpha_n| \leq K \sqrt{mb(m)} \leq K \frac{\sqrt{b(\frac{1}{|1-z|})}}{\sqrt{|1-z|}},$$

which proves the result in that case.

Assume now that $\frac{1}{|1-z|} \leq m$. Let $S_n = \sum_{k=0}^n z^k$, $n \geq 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^m \alpha_n z^n &= A(z) - \sum_{n \geq m+1} \alpha_n (S_n - S_{n-1}) \\ &= A(z) - \sum_{n \geq m+1} S_n (\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1}) + \alpha_{m+1} S_m. \end{aligned}$$

We already saw that $|S_n| \leq \frac{2}{|1-z|}$. Hence by (22) and (i), we have

$$(23) \quad |\sum_{n=0}^m \alpha_n z^n| \leq |A(z)| + \frac{2}{|1-z|} \frac{K \sqrt{b(m)}}{\sqrt{m}} \leq \tilde{K} \frac{\sqrt{b(\frac{1}{|1-z|})}}{\sqrt{|1-z|}},$$

which gives the result in that case. $\square$

APPENDIX B. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 5.6

Recall that for every $0 \leq t < 1$, $\Gamma_t := \sum_{n \geq 0} t^n X_n$ and that $\varphi_t := \mathbb{E}[\Gamma_t \circ \theta | \mathcal{F}_1] - \mathbb{E}[\Gamma_t \circ \theta | \mathcal{F}_0]$.

By (13) and our assumption, there exists $K > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{E}[\Gamma_t | \mathcal{F}_0]\|_2 &\leq K(1-t) \sum_{n \geq 0} t^n \frac{\sqrt{n}}{(\log(n+1))^2 (\log \log(n+2))^\tau} \\ (24) \quad &= O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t} (\log(1-t))^2 (\log |\log(1-t)|)^\tau}\right) \quad (t \rightarrow 1), \end{aligned}$$

by a Tauberian theorem (see Theorem 5 in §XIII.5 of [9]). A similar estimate can be obtained for $\|\mathbb{E}[\Gamma_t \circ \theta | \mathcal{F}_0]\|_2$.

Let $0 < t < s < 1$. We have that for every $U \in L^2$,

$$\mathbb{E}[(\mathbb{E}[U|\mathcal{F}_0]) \circ \theta - \mathbb{E}[U \circ \theta|\mathcal{F}_0])^2] = \mathbb{E}[(\mathbb{E}[U|\mathcal{F}_0])^2] - \mathbb{E}[(\mathbb{E}[U \circ \theta|\mathcal{F}_0])^2].$$

Taking $U := \Gamma_t - \Gamma_s$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(\varphi_s - \varphi_t)^2] &= \mathbb{E}[(\mathbb{E}[U|\mathcal{F}_0])^2] - \mathbb{E}[(\mathbb{E}[U \circ \theta|\mathcal{F}_0])^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(\mathbb{E}[U|\mathcal{F}_0] - \mathbb{E}[U \circ \theta|\mathcal{F}_0])(\mathbb{E}[U|\mathcal{F}_0] + \mathbb{E}[U \circ \theta|\mathcal{F}_0])] \\ &\leq \|\mathbb{E}[U|\mathcal{F}_0] - \mathbb{E}[U \circ \theta|\mathcal{F}_0]\|_2 \cdot \|\mathbb{E}[U|\mathcal{F}_0] + \mathbb{E}[U \circ \theta|\mathcal{F}_0]\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $t\mathbb{E}[G_t \circ \theta|\mathcal{F}_0] = \mathbb{E}[G_t|\mathcal{F}_0] - X_0$, we have for $t > 1/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(\varphi_s - \varphi_t)^2] &\leq (2(1-t)\|\mathbb{E}[\Gamma_t|\mathcal{F}_0]\|_2 + 2(1-s)\|\mathbb{E}[\Gamma_s|\mathcal{F}_0]\|_2 + (s-t)\|X_0\|_2) \\ &\quad (\|\mathbb{E}[\Gamma_t|\mathcal{F}_0]\|_2 + \|\mathbb{E}[\Gamma_t \circ \theta|\mathcal{F}_0]\|_2 + \|\mathbb{E}[\Gamma_s|\mathcal{F}_0]\|_2 + \|\mathbb{E}[\Gamma_s \circ \theta|\mathcal{F}_0]\|_2) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using (24), for every $t > 1/2$ and every $t < s < (1+t)/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(\varphi_s - \varphi_t)^2] &\leq K' \left(\frac{\sqrt{1-t}}{(\log(1-t))^2(\log|\log(1-t)|)^\tau} + \frac{\sqrt{1-s}}{(\log(1-s))^2(\log|\log(1-s)|)^\tau} + s-t \right) \\ &\quad \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t}(\log(1-t))^2(\log|\log(1-t)|)^\tau} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-s}(\log(1-s))^2(\log|\log(1-s)|)^\tau} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{K''}{(\log(1-t))^4(\log|\log(1-t)|)^{2\tau}}. \end{aligned}$$

For every $n \geq 0$, write $t_n := (2^n - 1 + t)/2^n$. Then

$$\sup_{t_n \leq s < t_{n+1}} \|\varphi_s - \varphi_{t_n}\|_2 \leq \frac{\sqrt{K''}}{(|\log(1-t)| + n \log 2)^2 (\log(|\log(1-t)| + n \log 2))^\tau}.$$

Summing over n we obtain, for every $0 \leq t < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \leq s < 1} \|\varphi_s - \varphi_t\|_2 &\leq \sqrt{K''} \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{(|\log(1-t)| + x \log 2)^2 (\log(|\log(1-t)| + x \log 2))^\tau} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{K''}}{\log 2} \int_{|\log(1-t)|}^\infty \frac{du}{u^2 (\log u)^\tau} \leq \frac{\tilde{K}}{|\log(1-t)| (\log|\log(1-t)|)^\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy's criterion, there exists $M \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$, such that $\{\varphi_t\}$ converges in L^2 to M . Moreover

$$\|M - \varphi_t\|_2 \leq \frac{\tilde{K}}{|\log(1-t)| (\log|\log(1-t)|)^\tau} \quad \forall t \in [0, 1).$$

Define $M_n := \sum_{k=1}^n M \circ \theta^k$. Then $\{M_n\}$ is a martingale with stationary increments and

$$\|M_n - M_n(t)\|_2 \leq \frac{\sqrt{n} \tilde{K}}{|\log(1-t)| (\log|\log(1-t)|)^\tau} \quad \forall t \in [0, 1).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} S_n - M_n &= \sum_{k=1}^n (\mathbb{E}[\Gamma_t | \mathcal{F}_0] - t\mathbb{E}[\Gamma_t \circ \theta | \mathcal{F}_0]) \circ \theta^k - M_n(t) + M_n(t) - M_n \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\Gamma_t | \mathcal{F}_0] \circ \theta - \mathbb{E}[\Gamma_t | \mathcal{F}_0] \circ \theta^{n+1} + (1-t) \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[\Gamma_t \circ \theta | \mathcal{F}_0] \circ \theta^k + M_n(t) - M_n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using (12), we obtain for every $t \in [0, 1)$, $n \geq 1$,

$$\|S_n - M_n\|_2 \leq 2\|\mathbb{E}[\Gamma_t | \mathcal{F}_0]\|_2 + n(1-t)\|\mathbb{E}[\Gamma_t \circ \theta | \mathcal{F}_0]\|_2 + \|M_n(t) - M_n\|_2$$

Taking $t = 1 - 1/n$ in the above and using (24) (see also the remark after it), we deduce

$$\|S_n - M_n\|_2 \leq \frac{K\sqrt{n}}{\log n(\log \log n)^\tau},$$

which finishes the proof. $\square$

APPENDIX C. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 6.1

The proof follows the lines of the proof of Proposition 5.6, except that we will use the spectral calculus to obtain better estimates. The beginning of the proof is closely related to the work of Kipnis-Varadha [13] for symmetric Markov chains. For every $0 \leq t < 1$ define, $G_t f := \sum_{n \geq 0} t^n P^n f$. Then $G_t f(X_0)$ corresponds to $\mathbb{E}[\Gamma_t | \mathcal{F}_0]$, in the proof of Proposition 5.6. Define $\varphi_t := G_t f(X_1) - P G_t f(X_0)$.

We would like to prove that $\{\varphi_t\}$ converges in $L^2(\mathbb{P}_m)$ when $t \rightarrow 1$. Let $0 \leq s < t < 1$. We have

$$\mathbb{E}_m[(\varphi_t - \varphi_s)^2] = \mathbb{E}_m[(G_t - G_s f)^2(X_0)] - \mathbb{E}_m[(P G_t - P G_s f)^2(X_0)].$$

Let μ_f be the spectral measure of f with respect to the normal operator P . It is not difficult to see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}_m[(G_t - G_s f)^2(X_0)] - \mathbb{E}_m[(P G_t - P G_s f)^2(X_0)] \\ &= \int_D \left| \frac{1}{1-tz} - \frac{1}{1-sz} \right|^2 \mu_f(dz) - \int_D \left| \frac{z}{1-tz} - \frac{z}{1-sz} \right|^2 \mu_f(dz) \\ &= (1-|z|^2) \int_D \left| \frac{1}{1-tz} - \frac{1}{1-sz} \right|^2 \mu_f(dz) \\ &\leq 2 \int_D \left| \frac{|1-z|^{1/2}}{1-tz} - \frac{|1-z|^{1/2}}{1-sz} \right|^2 \mu_f(dz). \end{aligned}$$

Hence it is enough to show that when $t \rightarrow 1$, $\left\{ \frac{|1-z|^{1/2}}{1-tz} \right\}_t$ converges in $L^2(\mu_f)$.

Let $z := r e^{i\theta}$, with $\cos \theta \geq 0$.

If $r \leq \cos \theta$, one can see geometrically that

$$|1-tz| \geq |1-z| \quad \forall 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Assume now that $r > \cos \theta$. One can see geometrically that $|1 - tz|^2 \geq \sin^2 \theta = 1 - \cos^2 \theta \geq 1 - \cos \theta$. On the other hand simple computations show that, since $r > \cos \theta$, $|1 - z|^2 \leq |1 - e^{i\theta}|^2 = 2(1 - \cos \theta)$.

Finally, for every $z = re^{i\theta}$, with $\cos \theta \geq 0$, $\sqrt{2}|1 - tz| \geq |1 - z|$ for every $t \in [0, 1]$. Hence there exists $K > 0$ such that

$$\frac{|1 - z|^{1/2}}{|1 - tz|} \leq \frac{K}{|1 - z|^{1/2}} \quad \forall z \in D, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

Since, by Proposition 2.2, $\int_D \frac{\mu_f(dz)}{|1 - z|} < +\infty$, Lebesgue dominated theorem yields to the convergence in $L^2(\mu_f)$ of $\left\{ \frac{|1 - z|^{1/2}}{1 - tz} \right\}_t$ to $\frac{|1 - z|^{1/2}}{1 - z}$.

Hence $\{\varphi_t\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{P}_m)$, so it converges to an element $M \in L^2[\Omega, \mathbb{P}_m]$. Moreover, for every $0 \leq t < 1$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_m[(\varphi_t - M)^2] \leq 2(1 - t)^2 \int_D \frac{\mu_f(dz)}{|1 - z||1 - tz|^2}.$$

Define, for every $n \geq 1$, $0 \leq t < 1$

$$M_n(t) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi_t \circ \theta^k \quad \text{and} \quad M_n := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} M \circ \theta^k.$$

Then $\{M_n(t) - M_n\}$ is a martingale with stationary increments and

$$\mathbb{E}_m[(M_n(t) - M_n)^2] = n\mathbb{E}_m[(\varphi_t - M)^2] \leq 2n(1 - t)^2 \int_D \frac{\mu_f(dz)}{|1 - z||1 - tz|^2}.$$

Write $W_n := S_n(f) - M_n$. It remains to estimate $\mathbb{E}_m[W_n^2]$. We have

$$W_n = S_n(f) - M_n(t) + M_n(t) - M_n = M_n(t) - M_n + G_t f(X_0) - G_t f(X_n) + (1 - t) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P G_t f(X_k).$$

Hence, since, for every $l \in \{0, 1\}$ and $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$, $\mathbb{E}_m[(P^l G_t f(X_k))^2] = \int_D \frac{|z|^{2l}}{|1 - tz|^2} \mu_f(dz)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_m[W_n^2] &\leq 4(\mathbb{E}[(M_n(t) - M_n)^2] + \mathbb{E}_m[(G_t f(X_0))^2] + \mathbb{E}_m[(G_t f(X_n))^2] + (1 - t)^2 \mathbb{E}_m[(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P G_t f(X_k))^2]) \\ &\leq 4(2n(1 - t)^2 \int_D \frac{\mu_f(dz)}{|1 - z||1 - tz|^2} + 2 \int_{D_1} \frac{\mu_f(dz)}{|1 - tz|^2} \mu_f(dz) + n^2(1 - t)^2 \int_{D_1} \frac{\mu_f(dz)}{|1 - tz|^2}). \end{aligned}$$

Take $t = u_n := 1 - 1/n$ to obtain

$$\mathbb{E}_m[W_n^2] \leq 8 \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{D_1} \frac{\mu_f(dz)}{|1 - z||1 - u_n z|^2} + \int_{D_1} \frac{\mu_f(dz)}{|1 - u_n z|^2} \right).$$

We already saw that there exists $C > 0$ such that $C|1 - u_n z| \geq |1 - z|$ for every $z \in D$ and every $n \geq 1$. Moreover we also have $|1 - u_n z| \geq |1 - u_n| = 1/n$.

Now recall that $D_n = \{z = re^{2i\pi\theta} : 1 - \frac{1}{n} \leq r \leq 1, -\frac{1}{n} \leq \theta \leq \frac{1}{n}\}$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_m[W_n^2] &\leq C_1 \left(\frac{1}{n} \left(\int_{D_1-D_n} \frac{\mu_f(dz)}{|1-z|^3} + n^2 \int_{D_n} \frac{\mu_f(dz)}{|1-z|} \right) + \left(\int_{D_1-D_n} \frac{\mu_f(dz)}{|1-z|^2} + n^2 \mu_f(D_n) \right) \right) \\ &\leq C_3 \left(\int_{D_1-D_n} \frac{\mu_f(dz)}{|1-z|^2} + n \int_{D_n} \frac{\mu_f(dz)}{|1-z|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_1-D_n} \frac{\mu_f(dz)}{|1-z|^2} &= \sum_{k=1}^{n_1} \int_{D_k-D_{k+1}} \frac{\mu_f(dz)}{|1-z|^2} \\ &\leq C_4 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k^2 (\mu_f(D_k) - \mu_f(D_{k+1})) = C_4 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mu_f(D_k) (k^2 - (k-1)^2) - (n-1)^2 \mu_f(D_n) \\ &\leq 2C_4 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \mu_f(D_k) \leq C_5 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\|U_k(f)\|_2^2}{k}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality comes from (2).

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} n \int_{D_n} \frac{\mu_f(dz)}{|1-z|} &= n \sum_{k \geq n} \int_{D_k-D_{k+1}} \frac{\mu_f(dz)}{|1-z|} \\ &\leq nC_6 \sum_{k \geq n} k (\mu_f(D_k) - \mu_f(D_{k+1})) = nC_6 (n \mu_f(D_n) + \sum_{k \geq n+1} \mu_f(D_k)) \\ &\leq nC_6 \left(\frac{\|U_n(f)\|_2^2}{n} + \sum_{k \geq n+1} \frac{\|U_k(f)\|_2^2}{k^2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

Acknowledgement. The author is very grateful to Guy Cohen for a careful reading of the manuscript, and to Michael Lin and Guy Cohen for valuable discussion.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Assani and M. Lin, *On the one-sided ergodic Hilbert transform*, Contemporary Math. 430 (2007), 20-39.
- [2] G. Cohen and M. Lin, *Extensions of the Menchoff-Rademacher theorem with applications to ergodic theory*, Israel J. Math. 148 (2005) 41-86.
- [3] G. Cohen and M. Lin, *The one-sided ergodic Hilbert transform of normal contractions*, preprint.
- [4] C. Cuny and M. Lin, *Pointwise ergodic theorems with rate and application to the CLT for Markov chains*, accepted for publication in Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.
- [5] Y. Derrienic and M. Lin, *Sur le théorème limite central de Kipnis et Varadhan pour les chaîne réversibles ou normales*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I, 323 (1996), 1053-1057

- [6] Y. Derriennic and M. Lin, *Fractionnal Poisson equations and ergodic theorems for fractional coboundaries*, Israel J. Math. 123 (2001), 93-130.
- [7] Y. Derriennic and M. Lin, *The central limit theorem for Markov chains with normal transition operators started at a point*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, 119 (2001), no. 4, 508-528.
- [8] Y. Derriennic and M. Lin, *The central limit theorem for Markov chains started at a point*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, 125 (2003), no. 1, 73-76.
- [9] W. Feller, *An introduction to probability theory and its applications*, Vol. II, 2nd ed., Wiley, New York, 1971.
- [10] V. F. Gaposhkin, *Convergence of a series related to stationary processes*, Izvestia Matematika 39 (1975), 1366-1392.
- [11] V. F. Gaposhkin, *On the dependence of the convergence rate in the SLLN for stationary processes on the rate of decay of the correlation function*, Theory of Probability and its Applications, 26 (1981), 706-720.
- [12] V. F. Gaposhkin, *Spectral criteria for the existence of generalized ergodic transformations*, Theory Probab. Appl. 41 (1996), no. 2, 247-264.
- [13] C. Kipnis and S. R. Varadhan, *Central limit theorem for additive functionals of reversible Markov processes and applications to simple inclusions*, Comm. Math. Phys. 104 (1986), 1-19.
- [14] M. Maxwell and M. Woodroffe, *Central limit theorem for additive functionals of Markov chains*, Annals Proba. 28 (2000), 713-724.
- [15] F. Merlevde, M. Peligrad and S. Utev, *Recent advances in invariance principles for stationary sequences*, Probab. Surv. 3 (2006), 1-36.
- [16] M. Peligrad and S. Utev, *A new maximal inequality and invariance principle for stationary sequences*, Ann. Probab. 33 (2005), no. 2, 798-815.
- [17] W. F. Stout, *The Hartman-Winter law of the iterated logarithm for martingales*, Ann. Math. Statist. 41 (1970) 2158-2160.
- [18] M. Weber, *Uniform bounds under increment conditions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 358 (2006) 911-936.
- [19] W. B. Wu, *Strong invariance principles for dependent random variables*, Annals Proba. 35 (2007), 2294-2320.
- [20] O. Zhao and M. Woodroffe, *Law of the iterated logarithm for stationary processes*, Annals Proba. 36 (2008), 127-142.
- [21] O. Zhao and M. Woodroffe, *On martingale approximations*, preprint.
- [22] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, Corrected 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (UK), 1969.

Current address: Equipe ERIM, University of New Caledonia, B.P. R4, 98800 Nouméa, New Caledonia

E-mail address: cuny@univ-nc.nc